TO THE HONORABLE SUPREME COURT OF BHAARATH

{To prohibit Bible and Quran for children below the age of 18 because of its violent, pornographic, criminal, incest and other proclivity nature.}

The petitioner, hereby, bring this matter for the serious consideration of the Honourable Supreme Court of Bharath. The petitioner pray the Honourable Supreme Court of Justice, on multiple grounds stated below, to prohibit the two books viz., 'The Bible and Quran', from getting into the hands of children below 18.

Jesus says in 'the bible', "Anyone who comes to me **must hate his father and mother**. He must **hate his wife** and **children**. He **must hate his brothers and sisters**. And he **must hate** even **his own life**. Unless he does, he can't be my disciple." (*Luke* 14:26—*New International Reader's Version*)

The petitioner believes that every child in our society holds a right to be loved by their parents and vice versa. Therefore anyone who commands to hate their father, mother and children should be considered as an arch enemy of our society.

Furthermore, the petitioner believes that it is the natural wish of all wives to be loved by her husband. But 'the Bible' says one must hate his wife in order to be a disciple of Jesus. The Petitioner hold that, to a large extent, that the command to 'hate wives' is essentially the equivalent of saying 'hate women'. The love and understanding between man and woman comprises the foundation necessary for a peaceful and happy family life. This command in the Bible is therefore surely meant to stir up trouble and strife in family life!

In sum, Jesus by way of his Bible Book is spreading hatred within every family member. Any work that spreads such hatred towards family members, the petitioners fear, is a threat to the family harmony. The petitioner hold, that no one should be forced to hate family members to follow any religion. The petitioner, therefore humbly request the Honourable Supreme Court to legally prohibit the printing and circulation of Bible Book in Bhaarath.

The petitioner as well fear, that in order to qualify to follow Jesus, children can turn into hating their parents or hating their sisters and brothers. I therefore plead that this honourable Court of Justice takes necessary action in order to prevent the circulation of 'Bible'.

The most common and infamous teachings of the church include the notion that if one does not follow Jesus, he or she, will not attain "Eternal Life" or enter the "Kingdom of Heaven"; and the infidel will be thrown into the 'Eternal Fire' i.e., "Hell". That is to say, "Unless one hates his parents, wife, children, brothers and sisters, he or she will be thrown into eternal fire." This psycho terror inspiring book called 'The Bible' should therefore be banned immediately.

The previously mentioned command to hate the most significant members of one's family is uttered by Jesus. The Petitioner have seen street placards throughout Bharath quoting from Bible the words of Jesus: "I am the Way, the Truth and the Life." (*John* 14:6) This, the Petitioner believe, intrudes upon the attention of the members of our society, including impressionable children who, as a consequence of exposure to such hyperbole, may want to know more about Jesus, and would end up reading Bible.

Bhaaratham or Bhaarath, the scriptural appellation of India, is composited of the Sanskrit sounds *bha*, *ra* and *tha*. *Bha* means "resplendent among all scriptures," *ra*, "solicitude towards every being," and *tha*, "the pulsation of all sacred rivers."

Megasthenes wrote about Hindus 2400 years ago. No Hindu was ever known to tell a lie. How did Megasthenes know this? Where else except in the Hindu can we find a record like that. The same is the account left by Abbe Dubois in 1798. And Nehru says the Hindu marriage is a sacrament whereas all other marriages are an aadhaaram.

That means an aadhaaram can be cancelled. Just that thing we find also in Abbe Dubois. For he writes about the females, etc., etc., how a Hindu female can spend the evening or after nightfall in any rest house where Hindus come from all parts, strangers going to Kaasi and meeting for the night stay in the sathrams made by the kings at an intermediary point. So they come and sleep there, all together. And Abbe Dubois says never is there, was there, any disquiet in the night. Oh, Great! And he also describes how Hindu women are carried on the shoulders by the men while crossing streams in Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Andhra, etc. Nearly dressless they are carried. But no one will ever look at that.

How can one explain this in the context of Christianity? According to it man is by nature all evil. All evil. So the Hindus are important in proving the goodness of man. How Hindus prove, how the goodness of Hindus proves, that man is all goodness.

The story of 'Jesus' is repeated in each of the books of *Matthew, Mark, Luke* and *John*. The Petitioner are now in constant worry that children reading *Luke* 14:26 where Jesus commands to hate one's parents in order to follow him, that is, the one who also said 'I am the Way, the Truth and Life.' We fear that in their unsophisticated struggle to mature and understand the world and society, our children could start to resent and hate their parents and other members of the family, as the command goes. Or in reading Jesus' words about the Jews: "They hated me for no reason" (*John* 15:35), and "as for those enemies of mine who didn't want me to be their king (probably the Jews) bring them here and kill them while I watch" (*Luke* 19:27). The Petitioner strongly fears the potential social consequences of exposing young people to such hateful thinking, and creates a 'Hitler-like' hatred towards Jews and others.

In consideration of the above noted concerns, we reiterate that this petition is a plea to this Honourable Court of Justice to take immediate action to prevent such enmity cum hatred from being injected into the minds of our children and youngsters of this great and unique nation.

The Petitioner have carefully examined, the literally thousands of asinine and antihuman statements of Jesus cited in the Bible, such as *Luke* 14:26, *Luke* 19:27 or *Luke* 12:51:53 where Jesus the so-called embodiment of truth says: "Do you suppose that I came to grant peace on earth? I tell you, no, but rather division; for from now on five members in one household will be divided, three against two and two against three. "They will be divided, father against son and son against father, mother against daughter and daughter against mother, mother-in-law against daughter-in-law and daughter-in-law against mother-in-law." Taking into concern that the Bible book promotes 'crimes against human rights,' the Petitioner have finally decided to approach the Supreme Court of human justice to get an immediate solution to these problems.

Ibn Khaldun has proved that events were shaped by religious beliefs, customs, climates and racial backgrounds and ... persons and incidents portrayed in the great

literature of a people influence national character

We live in a country where liberty is accorded without discriminating on the basis of gender. But the 'Bible' teaches the opposite: *Genesis* 3:16 "Unto the woman he (the God of Bible) said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow and thy conception; in sorrow thou shalt bring forth children; and **thy desire shall be to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee**." This peculiar rendering of women's love for their husbands as slavish, combined with the basic political notion 'rule', is unacceptable, unbearable, disagreeable, and totally contrary to the fundamental principles of our civilized, modern society.

This petition is meant not only for the believing Christian but for humanity as a whole, in whose psychic depths comes finally the beginning of emancipation from the fetters of religious faith. The shadow of the Bible looms dark and large, constantly intimidating, affecting us unconsciously, if not consciously, with the instantly lethal poison of "guilt".

Sin and guilt are both imaginary by definition. Moreover, since sin and guilt are interchangeable, the distinctive characteristic of the one is also the distinctive character of the other. The distinctive character of guilt being its irredeemability by reason of its subjective nature, so is the Christian sin irredeemable. The notion of *papam* sensed by *Rig Veda* and connectedly in Sanskrit literature is radically different from the alien notion of sin imposed by Christianity. In no sense is *papam* relatable or related to "sin," defined as the latter is as transgression of the law against knowledge of the Christian God. *Papam*, on the other hand, consists of acts between man and man that are devoid of righteousness, or *dharma*, all of its various senses being autonomously intelligible, *without* recourse to the Christian God.

The banning of the Bible Book and Quran will naturally, automatically and effectively free man from the proclivity of war and other criminal behaviour genetically implanted in him at his conscious level by way of this book, and rebelliously kept cultured by the kingdom, labelled as church!

Man is good by nature; feature of goodness is the desire to trust. For this reason, Bible adherents rarely check the Bible, and the common man, when confronted with these diversions from goodness contained in the Bible, is often shocked into passivity, or rails against his own reason, invoking his almighty now for the end of the world and damnation.

The English monosyllable man is derived from the Sanskrit proper name and expression *Manu*—cf., *Merriam Webster's*, p. 691. *Manu* is the representative man and father of the human race, as also the first to have instituted sacrifices—cf., *Rig Veda. Manu* literally means "thought, thinking or mental faculty." *Manu* is both a concept and an entity and at once the starting point and meeting point of the structured, self-contained cycle of knowledge. It is a reality self-evidenced from the objective existence of the phenomenon of self-awareness in man.

Jesus even goes a step further when he reveals that his goal is to make division in every family on earth. The petitioners humbly request the attention of the honourable Supreme Court of Justice towards this pertinent passage of 'Bible':

Jesus says: "Do *you* suppose that I came to give peace on earth? I tell you, not at all, but rather division. For from now on five in one house will be divided: three against two, and two against three. Father will be divided against son and son against father, mother against

daughter and daughter against mother, mother-in-law against her daughter-in-law and daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law." (Luke 12:51-53/New King James Version)

Earlier in the same book of *Luke* Jesus said "Jesus knew what they were thinking. So he said to them, "Any kingdom that fights against itself will be destroyed. **A family that is divided against itself will fall**." (*Luke* 11:17/New International Reader's Version) If Jesus knew well that any family divided against itself would fall, then why did he say that he has come to earth to divide father against son and mother against daughter etc., etc.,?

The Petitioner have quoted some verses from 'the Bible' that points to the fact how 'The Bible' becomes a threat to family harmony. The petitioner, however, wish to deliver multiple reasons as to why a ban on 'The Bible' is necessary.

Firstly the petitioner hold that, any book which contains pornographic texts, children (at least below 18) should not be allowed to read. The so-called 'Holy Bible' has numerous pornographic texts that the children should not be allowed to read. Therefore the petitioner, humbly submit the honourable Supreme Court of justice a handful of passages from 'the Bible' that they hope would confirm their claim.

"Yet she became more and more promiscuous as she recalled the days of her youth, when she was a prostitute in Egypt. There she lusted after her lovers, **whose genitals were like those of donkeys and whose emission was like that of horses."** (Ezekiel 23:19-20—New International Version)

The petitioner find the above passage to be ithyphallic pornography and therefore the children below a certain age should by no means be allowed to read the book that contains such filthy material. Hence we pray the honourable apex court to legally prohibit 'the Bible' to all children below 18.

Here is a story of a father and his two daughters contained in the 'Bible'. The following passage is presented for pensive attention:

"And the firstborn said unto the younger, our father is old, and there is not a man in the earth to come in unto us after the manner of all the earth: Come, let us make our father drink wine, and we will lie with him, that we may preserve seed of our father. And they made their father drink wine that night: and the firstborn went in, and lay with her father; and he perceived not when she lay down, nor when she arose. And it came to pass on the morrow, that the firstborn said unto the younger, Behold, I lay yesterday night with my father: let us make him drink wine this night also; and go thou in, and lie with him, that we may preserve seed of our father. And they made their father drink wine that night also: and the younger arose, and lay with him; and he perceived not when she lay down, nor when she arose. Thus were both the daughters of Lot with child by their father." (Genesis 19:31-36—New American Standard Bible)

Alcohol is prohibited for children and incest is considered as degeneration and child abuse; this story of these sisters intoxicating their father and having sexual intercourse with him should also be prohibited to children. I believe these kinds of stories will implant unhealthy thoughts especially if the reader is taught to believe that the Bible is the blueprint for a happy life. In order to prevent our children from reading this kind of perversion that injects repugnant thoughts into the mind which leads into wrongdoings and consequences, the petitioner humbly plead to the 'Honourable Supreme Court of Justice' to put an

immediate ban on 'The Bible Book' from getting into the hands of our young children. No daughter should be informed that it may be possible to have sexual intercourse with her father by making him drink a lot of wine, which is the message of the Bible story quoted above.

Patrick Swayze, quoted in *International Herald Tribune*, March 30-31, 1991: "I expected [in Calcutta] the smog and the traffic and the throngs of the people, but it is disconcerting—these people who live in such sorrow, how can they have such beautiful smiles and be willing to show them to you. **They have got a line of something we don't.** It blows the western mind away."

Christianity is out here to degenerate man into animal and simply to steal this beautiful smile off our clear-headed and guilt-free people!

The petitioner is well aware that the church, throughout its history, has employed various methods to distribute the Bible, in spite of its poisonous messages. The Petitioners believe that this places a moral and legal obligation to protect our children from the influence of violent and pornographic books such as the Bible, which is replete with such stories as cited above. We quote, some out of many verses from the Bible, that should never be read by any child of this country, such as:

"How blessed will be the one who seizes and dashes your little ones Against the rock." (*Psalms* 137:9—*New American Standard Bible*)

Ezekiel 39:19-20 "At the sacrifice I am preparing for you, you will eat fat till you are glutted and drink blood till you are drunk. At my table you will eat your fill of horses and riders, mighty men and soldiers of every kind,' declares the Sovereign LORD."

Ezekiel 21:3-6 "God's Message: I'm against you. I'm pulling my sword from its sheath and killing both the wicked and the righteous. Because I'm treating everyone the same, good and bad, everyone from south to north is going to feel my sword!

Ezekiel 21:14-17 "So, prophesy, son of man! Clap your hands. Get their attention. Tell them that the sword's coming down once, twice, three times. It's a sword to kill, a sword for a massacre, A sword relentless, a sword inescapable. People collapsing right and left, going down like dominoes. I've stationed a murderous sword at every gate in the city, Flashing like lightning, brandished murderously. Cut to the right, thrust to the left, murderous, sharp-edged sword! Then I'll clap my hands, a signal that my anger is spent. I, GOD, have spoken."

Young children should not be subjected to such violent imagery. These gory, nightmarish cum ghoulish images, can only disrupt the equipoise of our children and steal their mental health. These sorts of thoughts in the minds of impressionable children, even to the notion that god himself is a maniac killer, could lead to the development of murderous propensities.

Jeremiah 25:33 "Those slain by the LORD on that day will be from one end of the earth to the other. They will not be lamented, gathered or buried; they will be like **dung** on the face of the ground." Jeremiah 15:3 "I will punish you in four different ways: You will be killed in war and your bodies dragged off by dogs, your flesh will be eaten by birds, and your bones will be chewed on by wild animals."

The human corpse is referred to here as 'dung' by the 'God of the Bible'. This is a disrespectful dismissal of human dignity and children should not be allowed to read.

Isaiah 2:22 "Stop trusting the power of humans. They are all going to die, so how can they help?"

Man is naturally a social being. Therefore, it is necessary for his wellbeing that we be able to trust each other. Hence, the Petitioners plead to this Court of Justice to ban the Bible which teaches, in fact commands, to stop trusting the power of humans. This kind of antihuman thoughts or ideas should by no means enter the mind of our children in this country.

Jeremiah 6:11-12 "Don't hold back my anger! Let it sweep away everyone—the children at play and all adults, young and old alike. The LORD answered: I'll punish the people of Judah and give to others their houses and fields, as well as their wives. I, the LORD, have spoken."

Hosea 2:2-3 "Accuse! Accuse your mother! She is no longer my wife, and now I, the LORD, am not her husband. Beg her to give up prostitution and stop being unfaithful, or I will strip her naked like the day she was born. I will make her barren like a desert, and she will die of thirst."

The above quote is a direct threat to children, and the disgusting, pornographic, highly insulting reference to mothers could cause psychological damage to any child. It further demonstrates that the Bible is indeed unfit for our children and youngsters.

In recent times, science has endeavoured to better the lot of humanity in the form of mind cure, which offers a method of positive thinking and the religion of Healthy-Mindedness.

But the Bible seeks even to make mockery of science, holding that it is not the earth that orbits the sun but it is the sun that orbits the earth; here see: *Joshua* 10:12-13 Then spake Joshua to the LORD in the day when the LORD delivered up the Amorites before the children of Israel, and he said in the sight of Israel, Sun, stand thou still upon Gibeon; and thou, Moon, in the valley of Ajalon. And the sun stood still, and the moon stayed, until the people had avenged themselves upon their enemies. Is not this written in the book of Jasher? So the sun stood still in the midst of heaven, and hasted not to go down about a whole day.

This idiotic story of Joshua tries to teach children exactly the opposite to what they are taught from primary school. The brains of our children, the petitioners pray should not be filled with this kind of absurdity!

Finally, as the honourable Supreme Court is well aware that *Gohathya* or cowslaughter deeply hurt the sentiment of Hindus and any book that promotes cow-slaughter, we petitioners believe is an assault upon the feelings of Hindus. In the following verses 'the Bible' commands to kill and eat anything including cows: "He saw heaven opened and something like a large sheet being let down to earth by its four corners. **It contained all kinds of four-footed animals**, as well as reptiles of the earth and birds of the air. Then a voice told him, "Get up, Peter. **Kill and eat**." (*Acts.* 10:11-13)

The 'Bible Book', arises from an antithesis that is pretentiously overlain with all the forms of a thesis, and it seeks to liquidate reality; firstly, by its very existence under the threatening and rebellious label of 'Holy Book', and secondly, and even more dangerously, by the unceasing efforts of the amphitheatre called church over the course of these past 2000 years to turn it into a component in consciousness, and consequently into the thesis.

Here see John C. Chethimattam, *Patterns of Indian Thought*, p. 142: "The Indian tradition which did not place a bearded God 'up there' to preside over the affairs of men has nothing to fear from the dethronement of such a God." He further says that "India's cultural [scriptural] tradition may be called, in a certain sense, the conscience of humanity. India has found her strength, not in wealth or political power, but in man himself. Another secret of her millennia-old stability is that honour, prestige and influence are ascribed to learning and sanctity, and not to power and wealth, which always had to take second place. A third basis for the cultural unity of India is its closeness to and identification with Nature. A fourth reason is her intellectual approach to spiritual [self] realisation."—John C. Chethimattam, *op. cit.*, pp. 5-7:

For furtherance, see Abbe J.A. Dubois, *Hindu Manners, Customs and Ceremonies*, pp. 199-99, 504: "There is nothing that I know of in the history either of the Egyptians or of the Jews to show that these people existed as a nation prior to the Hindus. The peculiarity of the dogmas and rites of the Hindu religion, the strong antipathy which the Hindus feel for anything that savours of imitation, the unshaken firmness with which they cling to ideas which originated at a date now lost in the darkness of antiquity; all these make me confident that the Hindus never borrowed anything from other nations. Everything connected with the Hindus is stamped with originality and independence. The Hindu religious system is **sui generis** in its very foundations, and contains special characteristics of which no trace can be found in that of any other country."

See also John Calvin, quoted by William J. Bouwsma, *John Calvin, A Sixteenth Century Portrait*, p.192: "When Jesus appeared, he acquired possession of the whole world; god has consecrated the entire earth to the end we may inhabit it and live under his reign." Even lexicographic endeavours are motivated in behalf of Jesus. Cf., Sir Monier Williams, *Sanskrit English Dictionary*. He outspokenly says in the introduction that the work was compiled with "the main object" of missionisation of the Hindu races. He writes how a certain Colonel Boden of the British mercenary troops in India left a munificent bequest with a special object, "most explicitly stated in his will," "to promote the translation of the 'scriptures' into Sanskrit, so as to enable his countrymen to proceed in the conversion (read subversion) of the natives of India to the Christian 'religion'." Sir Monier-Williams says besides that he personally made the translation of his "sacred scriptures" into Sanskrit the chief aim of his career as a professor of the Vedic language (pp.ix, xi).

Again see Francois Bernier, *Travels and Sojourn in the Moghul Empire*, quoted by Flora Annie Steel, *India Through the Ages*, p. 202. She writes that Bernier shows graphically how the "cancer of the so-called [christian] civilisation" was eating into the body politic of India. "The kingdom of Arracan," wrote Bernier, "has contained during many years several Portuguese settlers, a great number of christian slaves or half-caste Portuguese and other Europeans collected from various parts of the world. That kingdom was a place of refuge for fugitives from Goa, Ceylon, Cochin, Malacca and no persons had better served than those who had deserted the monasteries, married two or three wives or committed other great crimes. It was not surprising that these renegades pursued no other trade than that of rapine and piracy. They scoured the neighbouring seas in light galleys and, often penetrating forty or

fifty leagues up the country, surprised and carried away the entire population of villages on market days, and at times when the inhabitants were assembled for the celebration of a marriage, or some other festival. The treatment of the slaves thus made was most cruel. By a mutual understanding the pirates would await the arrival of the Portuguese ships who brought whole cargoes at a cheap rate; and it is lamentable to reflect that the other Europeans have pursued the same flagitious commerce with the pirates of Arracan, who boast that they convert more Hindus to christianity in a twelve-month than all the missionaries in India do in twelve years." "Not a pleasing picture," adds Flora Annie Steel, "though it whets the curiosity to know more, for instance, of the career of Fra Joan, the Augustinian monk who, having by means unknown possessed himself of the island of Sundiva, reigned there King-of-the-Pirates for many years."

Also see Flora Annie Steel, *India Through the Ages*, pp. 123, 240: "Surely no land on the globe has suffered so much from invasion as Hindustan. There is one cry of terror which from time immemorial has echoed out over Northern India. 'The Toorks! The Toorks!' rises the cry and in an instant jewels are torn off and hidden and with a wild prayer to some god for protection, the ultimate atom of India awaits destruction or dishonour or death in apathetic despair. It must have needed a bitter biting to have engraved this fear so indelibly on the Hindu heart."

"He that believeth and is baptised will be saved; but he that believeth not shall be damned," he said further in *Mark* 16:16.

This is how two of them, Bartholomew and Thomas by name, came all the way to Bharath to subvert our ancestors, the former at Kalyan and the latter in the present Sind. The claims of this Thomas having come to Kerala instead are altogether baseless and dishonest. The fact is that our ancestors, more discerning than we are, put both these two to death. The Christianity they imported, if at all they did so, disappeared without a trace soon afterwards. The existing Christianity in Kerala is historically traced to another Thomas, a native of Syria and a merchant by trade, who was granted asylum in the old port city of Cranganur by emperor Cheraman Perumal in accordance with our Hindu *dharma* on asylum. A large number of ethnic Syrian families who accompanied this Thomas also got asylum on very generous terms. Like the Jews, these people never betrayed the generosity of their Hindu hosts. They are mostly found today at settlements in Kaduthuruthy, Chingavanam and some other places.

Atrocities against man whether in the East or in the West, are the hallmark of Christianity from the time it gained a foothold in Europe through political clout. As far as Bhaarath is concerned, it gained a semblance of presence in Kerala through the political strategies of the Portuguese predators and later through the activities of that totally misguided young man, Francis Xavier. In 1599 it gained formal foothold in the territory by alienating the original adherents, the so-called Syrian Christians—as distinct from the ethnic Syrians—from their Hindu roots. Although thus uprooted, these people especially in Trichur and surroundings, are still Hindu in their general outlook and in certain customs.

Original documents are preserved at the government archives at Ernakulam in Cochin. There are several letters written around 1800 by the king of Cochin to the British officials arraigning his Latin subjects, mostly for **crimes against women.** The British had captured the town of Cochin in 1795 from the Dutch. The Latin population claimed that they were subjects by religion of the western powers, so established, as they said, from the time of the Portuguese colonisation in 1500-1663. In practice this claim was used by them as mandate for

terrorising the Hindu populations. In a letter of Nov. 4, 1800, to the British residing officer Oliphant, the king cites "the theft of an *Araya* woman" by a gang of Latins. (*Arayas* are hereditary Hindu fishermen of the seas; they inhabit the sea coasts. Fishermen of the rivers are a different caste, called *Vaala*; they live in the hinterland near lakes.) More generally, the king writes in the same letter of the "numerous atrocities" committed by the Latins. "Violating all hereditary codes of living prevailing in the kingdom, the *margakkars* (ethnic designation of the Latins) are now perpetrating such crimes even against women. It is becoming impossible under the circumstances for my subjects to live unmolested."

These passages cited are from Peter Brown, *The Cult of Saints: Its Rise and Function in Latin Christianity*, pp. 66-67. Elsewhere in the work, Brown notes "the full charnel horror of the rise of christianity" and "the christian breaching of the established map of the universe." "The rise of christianity," he continues, "was met by deep religious anger. The progress of this cult of 'saints' spelled out for pagans [read purists, from Sanskrit *punati*] a slow and horrid crumbling of ancient barriers which presaged the final spreading again over the earth of that 'darkness spoken of in the ancient myths,' in which all ancient landmarks would be blotted out. Throughout the Mediterranean world, the advance of christianity beyond the towns was the advance of the *praesentia* of the saints. In Gaul and Spain, the spread of Latin at the expense of local Celtic dialects betrayed the final death of cultures that had existed since prehistory. By the sixth century, the only major settled civilizations that maintained a paganism [read purism] reaching back without dislocation to the pre-classical world, west of India and east of Ireland, was the Zoroastrian culture of Sasanian Iran: elsewhere, in Egypt, in Mesopotamia, in Anatolia and in Western Europe, the ancient pre-classical world had come to a definitive end."

To now conclude, Gabriel tells Mary that Jesus will be called son of god. But the same Gabriel when meeting Mohammed subsequently says that it is not in the nature of Allah to have a son. *Koran* 19:34-35: "This is Essah (Jesus), the son of Maryam. This is the true statement with regard to what people suspect about him. It is not at all in the nature of Allah to accept son. He is holy." Again Koran 19:27-33: "The child said, I am the servant of Allah. He gave me the holy writ and made me a prophet."

Again the prayer in *Koran* 17:111: "**Praise be to Allah who has never begotten a son;** who has no *partner* in His Kingdom.

Further, *Koran* 18:4-5 reads: "And admonish those who say that Allah has begotten a son. Surely of this they could have no knowledge, neither they nor their fathers; A monstrous blasphemy is that which they utter. They preach nothing but falsehood".

It all shows how Jesus is **not** the son of god according to *Koran*.

There is only one explanation for these divergences of fundamental nature in the two scriptures emanating from the same being. The explanation again is in *Luke* 12:51: "Do you think that I have come to bring peace on the earth? No, believe me, I have come to bring dissension."

Dissension means "Religious non-conformity" and has brought wars in which millions have already shed their blood to propagate or defend their faith, each one thinking what their scripture says is the truth.

Thus commands *Koran* 2:216: "Fighting (Jihad the so-called holy war) is obligatory for you much as you dislike it."

I can supply many more testimonies to why the Bible and Quran must be banned for youngsters. But i hold that 'one substantial reason' is sufficient enough for the justice

department to decide and act accordingly. I am even willing to appear before the Honorable Supreme Court Authority in person for furtherance and submitting the axiomatic cum demonstrational evidences.

Needless to say, since Bible is underived and Quran derived from Bible, both these works fall into the same boat trying to sink and overturn human reasoning by pretending to love men in order to pervert and destroy them!

Somebody ought to tell the truth about the Bible and Quran. The preachers dare not, because they would be driven from their pulpits. Professors in colleges dare not, because they would lose their salaries. Politicians dare not. They would be defeated. Editors dare not. They would lose subscribers. Merchants dare not, because they might lose customers. Men of fashion dare not, fearing that they would lose caste. Even clerks dare not, because they might be discharged. And so we thought we would do it ourselves.

The petitioner solemnly plead to the Honourable Supreme Court to also prohibit the institutions responsible, from printing, publishing, promoting and circulating Bible and Quran to children and youngsters of this peace loving country.

The dignity of the judicial office is essential for the survival of a free and healthy community.

The Petitioner

Vinodh Kumar Pillai 'Veni Vihar' Karikkamuri Cross Road E.K.M. Kochi 682 011 Kerala, India

Bibliography: